

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,364	11/02/2000	Joseph M. Iglesias	480032-312	4745
7590 02/10/2004			EXAMINER	
Alan C. Rose,			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
Oppenheimer V	Volff & Donnelly LLP			
233 Wilshire Blvd.,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 700		•	3764	10
Santa Monica, CA 90404			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	, /0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	08/70/364 Tosuph + 5/85145				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	Michael Brown 3764				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—					
Period for Reply	1				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
from the mailing date of this communication.	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . c, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL .					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the copies. 					
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbe □ received in this national stage application from the Inte 					
*Certified copies not received:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s) □ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8				
Office Action Summary					

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I, Claims 1-33; Group II, Claims 34-46, 60-69 and 83-84; Group III Claims 47-55; Group IV Claims 56-59; Group V, Claims 70-76 and 82; Group VII, Claims 77-81, Group VIII, Claims 85-90.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon. including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to Application/Control Number: 09/704,364

Art Unit: 3764

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. Scott Hansen on September 23, 2003 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown

September 30, 2003

MICHAEL A BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 3

Primary . . .